CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS

OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWS
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

Struhaře, CR, 6 EO

Jan Vymazal

Slavošovice, cr, 150 eo



WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS?

- SYSTEMS CONSTRUCTED BY MEN
- BIOTECHNOLOGY
- USED FOR (WASTE)WATER TREATMENT
- USING NATURAL PROCESSES
- ALTERNATIVE TO CONVENTIONAL WASTEWATER (SEWAGE) TREATMENT PLANTS

WHAT ARE CWS USED FOR?

- TREATING OF POLLUTED WATER
 - LANDFILL LEACHATE
 - MINE LEACHATE
 - FARMYARD RUNOFF
 - HIGWAY RUNOFF
 - INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER (E. G.PAPER MILL, FOOD PROCESSING FACTORIES ETC.)
 - MUNICIPAL (DOMESTIC) WASTEWATER (DOMESTIC SEWAGE EFFLUENT)
 - SURFACE WATER FROM RIVERS, LAKES

HISTORY

- NATURAL WETLANDS USED FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT IN MIDDLE AGES (UNINTENTIONALLY)
- FIRST EXPERIMENTS WITH CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS IN 50TH, 20TH CENTURY, GERMANY
- THE FIRST FUNCTIONING CONSTRUCTED WETLAND BUILT IN OTHFRESEN, GERMANY, IN 1974
- PLASTIC-LINED BED FILLED WITH SOIL AND PLANTED WITH EMERGENT MACROPHYTES
- LOW HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF SOIL SOIL REPLACED BY GRAVEL

CURRENT SITUATION IN EUROPE

| Country | Number of CWs |
|--|---------------|
| Germany | 50 000 |
| Austria | 1400 |
| Great Britain | 800 |
| Denmark | 600 |
| Italy | 400 |
| Czech Republic, Polland, France, Belgium, Portugese | 150 |

OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

TYPES OF CW





Free-Floating Macrophyte Treatment System

- EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES (WATER HYACINTH)
- SUBTROPICS AND TROPICS
- DUCKWEED (E.G. LEMNA)



(a) Emergent macrophyte treatment system with surface flow



(b) Emergent macrophyte treatment system with horizontal subsurface flow



(c) Emergent macrophyte treatment system with vertical subsurface flow (percolation)



.

OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

WASTEWATER TREATMENT



TREATMENT PROCESSES

- 1. SETTLING OF SUSPENDED PARTICULATE MATTER
- 2. FILTRATION AND CHEMICAL PRECIPITATION
- 3. CHEMICAL TRANSFORMATION
- 4. ADSORPTION AND ION EXCHANGE ON THE SURFACES OF PLANTS, SUBSTRATE, SEDIMENT, AND LITTER
- 5. BREAKDOWN AND TRANSFORMATION OF POLLUTANTS BY MICROORGANISMS AND PLANTS
- 6. UPTAKE AND TRANSFORMATION OF NUTRIENTS BY MICROORGANISMS AND PLANTS
- 7. PREDATION AND NATURAL DIE-OFF OF PATHOGENS.

IMPORTANT PARAMETERS

- PERSON EQUIVALENT = POLLUTION PRODUCED BY ONE AVERAGE PERSON - THE ORGANIC BIODEGRADABLE LOAD HAVING A BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (BOD5) OF 60G OF OXYGEN PER DAY.
- REMOVAL EFFICIENCY = PERCENTAGE OF POLLUTANT REMOVED IN CW
- TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)
- COD = CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND
- BOD = BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (BOD5)

- TOTAL NITROGEN
- NITRATES
- AMMONIUM
- TOTAL PHOSPOHORUS
- FECAL AND TOTAL COLIFORMING BACTERIA

Efficiencies of pollution removal by SSHF CW (concentrations in mg $L^{\text{-1}},$ efficiency in %.

| | | concentration | | | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|------------|--|
| | n | INFLOW | OUTFLOW | EFFICIENCY | |
| BOD ₅ | 161 | 156 | 15.5 | 85.3 | |
| COD | 97 | 332 | 56 | 75.1 | |
| TSS | 106 | 164 | 13.1 | 92.3 | |
| P-TOT | 60 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 41.6 | |
| N-TOT | 33 | 55 | 28 | 44.6 | |
| NH₄-N | 63 | 29 | 18.6 | 33.3 | |
| N-org. | 19 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 69.1 | |

Jan Vymazal

HYDROLOGY

- <u>HYDRAULIC RESIDENCE TIME</u> (HRT) THE AVERAGE TIME THAT WATER REMAINS IN THE WETLAND, EXPRESSED AS MEAN VOLUME DIVIDED BY MEAN OUTFLOW RATE
- <u>HYDRAULIC LOADING RATE</u> (HLR) LOADING OF A WATER VOLUME PER UNIT AREA BASIS. [LOADING = (PARAMETER CONCENTRATION)(WATER VOLUME/AREA)]

WATER BALANCE EQUATION FOR CW

S = Q + R + I - O - ET

- S = NET CHANGE IN STORAGE
- Q = SURFACE FLOW, INCLUDING WASTEWATER OR STORMWATER INFLOW,
- R = CONTRIBUTION FROM RAINFALL
- I = NET INFILTRATION (INFILTRATION LESS EXFILTRATION)
- **O** = SURFACE OUTFLOW
- ET= LOSS DUE TO EVAPOTRANSPIRATION.

OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

TECHNICAL PARAMETERS OF TREATMENT BEDS (SSF)

- AREA (5 M² PER PE)
- DEPTH 80 TO 90 CM
- SLOPE 1%



1/ STORM OVERFLOW, 2/ SCREENS, HORIZONTAL SAND TRAP, 3/ IMHOFF SEPTIC TANK, 4/ INFLOW INTO THE BEDS, 5/ AND 6/ BEDS

PRETREATMENT

SAND TRAP





SEPTIC TANK





TREATMENT BED

CW WITH HORIZONTAL SUBSURFACE FLOW



DIGGING A BASIN, SEEPAGE PREVENTION (RUBBER LINER)

TREATMENT BED – DISTRIBUTION ZONE





Sec.

0m= 5

SUBSTRATE

- SUPPORTS THE WETLAND VEGETATION
- PROVIDES SITES FOR BIOCHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL TRANSFORMATIONS
- PROVIDES SITES FOR STORAGE OF REMOVED POLLUTANTS
- SOIL, SAND, GRAVEL, ORGANIC MATERIALS
- DIFFERENT FOR DISTRIBUTION ZONE AND FOR VEGETATED PART OF REED BED





TECHNICAL PARAMETERS OF SLAVOŠOVICE CONSTRUCTED WETLAND

| Number of beds | 2 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Length of bed | 17 m |
| Width of bed | 22 m |
| Depth of bed | 0.8 to 0.9 m |
| Area of one bed | 374 m ² |
| Number of PE | 150 |
| Area per 1 PE | 5 m ² |
| Hydraulic retention time | 14 days (18 - 1.5 days) |

OUTFLOW



OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

VEGETATION

- REMOVING PART OF THE NUTRIENTS, BUT USUALLY VERY LOW PORTION
- VENTILATION OF GRAVEL BED (SUBSTRATE) AND ALLOWING OXYGEN TRANSPORTATION INTO THE ROOTS AND THEIR SURROUNDINGS
- SUPPORTING MICROBIAL ACTIVITIES BY INCREASING SURFACES FOR MICROBIAL BIOFILMS AND BY ROOT EXUDATION
- INSOLATION OF BED SURFACE
- EVAPOTRANSPIRATION PROLONGATION OF HYDRAULIC RETENTION TIME, COOLING EFFECT ON LOCAL CLIMATE AND INCREASING AIR HUMIDITY

PLANT SPECIES USED FOR CW

• TYPHA, PHRAGMITES, PHALARIS, IRIS, GLYCERIA



AUGUST 2001



JANUARY 2002



JUNE 2002



October 2002



JUNE 2003



August 2004





OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

ADVANTAGES

- THE BEST FOR DISCONTINUOUS WASTEWATER INFLOW AND FOR WASTEWATERS WITH LOW CONCENTRATIONS OF POLLUTANTS
- LOW MAINTANCE COSTS
- NO NEED OF ELECTRICITY (OR VERY LOW)
- NO NEED OF PROFESSIONAL STAFF
- NATURAL SYSTEM PART OF LANDSCAPE, BIOSTOP FOR PLANTS AND ANIMALS (FROGS, BIRDS, MOSQUITOES ^(C))
- COOLING SYSTEM FOR LANDSCAPE, MOISTENING OF AIR IN LOCAL AREA
- CAN SURVIVE FLOODS USUALLY WITHOUT ANY PROBLEMS

DISADVANTAGES

- NEEDS LARGER AREA THAN TRADITIONAL SEWAGE PLANTS
- VARIABLE EFFICIENCY FOR NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL
- THE COST CAN BE LITTLE BIT HIGHER THAN FOR TRADITIONAL PLANTS

MAINTENANCE

- CHECKING AND CLEANING OF SCREENS REGULARLY (EACH TWO OR THREE DAYS)
- CLEANING OF SAND TRAP AND OF SEPTIC TANC REGULARLY (TWICE A YEAR)
- CUTTING VEGETATION
- INCREASE THE WATER LEVEL BEFORE WINTER
- WATER SAMPLING TWICE A YEAR AND SENDING IT FOR ANALYSES

CLOGGING



OUTLINE

- WHAT ARE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (CW)
- TYPES OF CWS
- FUNCTIONING OF CWs
- BUILDING OF CWS
- VEGETATION
- ADVANTAGES X DISADVANTAGES
- EXAMPLES

Struhaře, 6 EO

Jan Vymazal

Spálené Poříčí 700 (1400 EO)



Mořina 700 EO



Jan Vymazal







LITERATURE

- MITSCH W.J., GOSSELINK J.G., ZHANG L., ANDERSON C.J. WETLAND ECOSYSTEMS. 2001
- VYMAZAL J., KROPFLOVÁ L. WASTEWATER TREATMENT IN CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS WITH HORIZONTAL SUB-SURFACE FLOW. 2008
- BIEBIGHAUSER, T.R. WETLAND DRAINAGE, RESTORATION, AND REPAIR. 2007
- KADLEC R., WALACE S. TREATMENT WETLANDS. 2008



WETLAND DRAINAGE, RESTORATION, AND REPAIR



Wetland Drainage, Restoration, and Repair

Thomas R. Biebighauser

THE UNIVERSITY PRESS OF KENTUCKY